

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/564,423	01/11/2006	Robert Fifield	853663.434USPC	8968	
38106 SEED INTEL	7590 03/20/200 LECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAM	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5400			AHMED, ENAM		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2112		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/564,423	FIFIELD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ENAM AHMED	2112		

	ENAM AHMED	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of th application, applicant must timely lie one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places th application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 							
b) Meterical for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If how I is checked, check either how (a) or (i) and (ii) are the control of the	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
	lianna with 27 CER 41 27 must be 4	Slad within two manths	a of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s or the date or e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE:	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/MUJTABA K CHAUDR Primary Examiner, Art U						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the amendment after final filled on 36/09, the applicant states on pages 8-0 with respect to claim in that forwarding, by the at least one repeater node, the data packet to the receiver and storing, by the at least one repeater node, a copy of the forwarded packet; and the at least one repeater node initiating retransmission of the data packet by transmitting the stored copy of the forwarded data packet to the receiver is not taught in the Alapuranen reference. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the statement, and points out that Alapuranen reference teaches ARQ transmitter which acts as a repeater because of continous retransmission which is sent to the receiver. Further, the Alapuranen reference teaches a flate terminal(memory) which is connected with an Hybrid ARQ transmitter which can retransmit packets based on the receiver's request. Thus, the Alapuranen reference teaches forwarding, by the at least one repeater node, a copy of the forwarded data packet (see final packet to the receiver and storing, by the at least one repeater node, a copy of the forwarded data packet (see final seast one repeater node); and the at least one repeater node initiating retransmission of the data packet by transmitting the stored copy of the forwarded data packet to the receiver (70026), 100301, 10016; 100381 and 100401).